

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,125	06/26/2003	Melvin S. Mogil	100570-00034	3648
4372 ARENT FOX	7590 02/04/2008 LLP	EXAMINER		
1050 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, N.W		MORGAN JR, JACK HOSMER	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3782	
				<u></u>
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/606,125	MOGIL, MELVIN S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jack H. Morgan	3782			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	<u>ine 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the concept that the conference of	\boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/7/04, 11/7/06.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

10/606,125 Art Unit: 3782

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mogil 1. (US 6.234.677). Mogil discloses a soft sided insulated container (Fig 19) having a first portion (504) and a second portion (506) defining first and second enclosed spaces respectively, the second portion being movable between a first folded position (See Fig 20) and a second unfolded position (See Fig 19), the second portion having at least one releasable securement (534) for maintaining it in the first position, the container having a first closure member to close the first enclosed space, and a second closure member to control access to the second enclosed space (note zippers), the first and second portions being insulated from each other to permit different environmental conditions to be maintained in the two enclosed spaces, the first portion further having a reinforcement member (425, see Col 14, line 6) to maintain it in a condition to receive objects in the first enclosed space, and as such is a receptacle, and is waterproof (Col 12, lines 49-51) and operable to contain liquids (Col 12, lines 64-67), the container further having a third portion mounted thereto defining a third enclosed space (526) wherein an entertainment device is mounted in the third position (the pen in Figure 15)

Art Unit: 3782

wherein the assembly has an access port (opening to third portion) capable of accommodating an electrical connection to the entertainment device.

Mogil further discloses the second portion having a liner mounted therein, the liner being a monolithic sheet of plastic stock, free of heat welded seams and made of clear plastic the second portion having reflective inner walls visable through the liner (Col 9, lines 10-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12, 13 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mogil (US 6,234,677) in view of Preston (US 5,501,338). Mogil discloses all the limitations of the claims except for the reinforcement member being a receptacle for accommodating objects and having a mating divider to partition the first enclosed space into upper and lower and side accommodations, further the receptacle having a horizontal shoulder, and a divider locatable upon the shoulder alternatively the receptacle being a molded plastic bucket. Preston discloses a cooler (Fig 1) having a reinforcement member (10, 12, 14) which is a waterproof plastic receptacle, being a molded plastic bucket (insofar as applicant sets forth the structure to a bucket, the molded plastic pieces of Preston meet the limitations of the claim) with a mating divider

10/606,125 Art Unit: 3782

(32) and a horizontal shoulder (the top of 12 and 14, insofar as applicants claims set

forth the structure of a horizontal shoulder), the divider and shoulder working together to

partition the first enclosed space into side by side (as in 10, via 34) and upper and lower

(12 vs 14 vs 10) regions, in order to keep separate different items stored in the cooler.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

invention to create the assembly of Mogil with the reinforcement member and dividers of

Preston in order to create separate regions for different items stored within the cooler.

3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mogil

(US 6,234,677) in view of Beales (US 5,020,921). Mogil discloses all the limitations of

the claim except for the reinforcement member being a receptacle for accommodating

objects placed in the enclosed space, and having a folding divider for sub-dividing the

first enclosed space. Beales discloses a foldable divided for supporting and separating

items in an enclosed space (Fig 4). It would have been obvious to use the folding

divider of Beales in conjunction with the reinforcement member of Mogil in order to

provide support and separation for the items held within the first enclosed space.

4. Claims 16, 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Mogil (US 6,234,677) in view of Melk (US 5,403,095). Mogil disclose

all the limitations of the claims except for the first portion having a non-collapsible

receptacle being capable of holding liquids, and further being a molded plastic bucket.

Melk discloses a cooler (Fig 1) having a non-collapsable molded plastic bucket (insofar

Application/Control Number:

10/606,125

Art Unit: 3782

as applicants claims define the term bucket) held inside (Fig 2, 20) in order to form a

Page 5

rigid waterproof liner, improving thermal characteristics and providing structural support

for the container (Abstract). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time of invention to create the assembly of Mogil with the non-

collapsible molded plastic bucket of Melk in order to create a rigid waterproof liner,

improving thermal characteristics and providing structural support.

5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Mogil (US 6,234,677) in view of Arreazola (US 2003/0139169), Sloan (US 6,305,185),

Rucker (US 6,216,488) and Ellison (US 5,979,175). Insofar as Mogil does not disclose

the entertainment device requiring a power source, Arreazola, Sloan, Rucker and

Ellison disclose the combination of a radio with a cooler. In light of the teachings of

Arreazola, Sloan, Rucker and Ellison, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the art at the time of invention to insert a standard radio in the third portion of Mogil,

and to then provide it power in order to make it operable, as this would allow music to

more easily be brought to where the cooler was being used.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

10/606,125

Art Unit: 3782

7. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack H. Morgan whose telephone number is 571-272-3385. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/606,125

Art Unit: 3782

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system; call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jack H Morgan Examiner

Art Unit 3782